**Introduction to qualitative and participatory methods**

*3rd Training School of the*

*PROFEEDBACK (PlatfoRm OF policy Evaluation community for improvED EU policies and Better ACKnowledgement) Cost Action*

*Belgrade, Serbia, 9-11 of July 2024*

**Phase II. SELECTION ASSESMENT (quality assessment of applications)**

**Selection Committee members:**

* Prof. dr. Gülru Yüksel, Yıldız Technical University, Turkey
* Prof. dr. Jaroslav Dvorak, Klaipeda University, Lithuania
* Dr. Simona Statneckytė, Klaipeda University, Lithuania
* Dr. Andrzej Klimczuk, SGH Warsaw School of Economics, Poland
* Dr. Sari Rannanpää, Nordeval, Finland
* Dr. Mihajlo Djukic, Institute of Economic Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

The following **selection criteria** were applied to the eligible applicants (based on the detailed Selection procedure):

* Experience related with public policy area: This specific experience should be highlighted in the applicant’s CV.
* Motivation: The motivation of the applicant as shown in a short motivation letter (2 pages). The motivation should show a personal and specific interest of the applicant to participate in the training school. It should link the training school to previous experience and expertise.
* Reflection and anticipation: The applicant should reflect the “evaluation business”, its virtues, but also its challenging aspects.
* Potential use: The potential use as shown in the motivation letter. The expected use of the training school should be linked to future professional activities. These might be academic (e.g. a PhD-thesis) or applied (e.g. coming evaluation projects).

Scores received by applicants after the finalization of the quality assessment phase:

| **NO.** | **ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT CRITERIA** | **Committee member 1POINTS** | **Committee member 2POINTS** | **Committee member 3POINTS** | **Committee member 4POINTS** | **Committee member 5POINTS** | **Committee member 6POINTS** | **Average by criterion** | **TOTAL SCORE** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 14 | Experience | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1.33 | **8.5** |
| Motivation | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2.33 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2.33 |
| Proven potential use | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2.5 |
| 3 | Experience | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2.5 | **9.83** |
| Motivation | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2.5 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2.33 |
| Proven potential use | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2.5 |
| 5 | Experience | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.6 | **8.2** |
| Motivation | 3 |  | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2.6 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1.8 |
| Proven potential use | 3 |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2.2 |
| 6 | Experience | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1.5 | **7.5** |
| Motivation | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2.5 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1.67 |
| Proven potential use | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1.83 |
| 10 | Experience | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1.67 | **7.83** |
| Motivation | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2.5 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1.83 |
| Proven potential use | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1.83 |
| 15 | Experience | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1.5 | **8** |
| Motivation | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2.5 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1.67 |
| Proven potential use | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2.33 |
| 20 | Experience | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2.17 | **9** |
| Motivation | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2.5 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Proven potential use | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2.33 |
| 8 | Experience | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1.33 | **7.5** |
| Motivation | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2.17 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1.83 |
| Proven potential use | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2.17 |
| 18 | Experience | 3 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2.2 | **10** |
| Motivation | 3 |  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2.6 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 3 |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2.6 |
| Proven potential use | 3 |  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2,6 |
| 11 | Experience | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2.17 | **9.17** |
| Motivation | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2.5 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Proven potential use | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2.5 |
| 1 | Experience | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | **8.17** |
| Motivation | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2.33 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1.67 |
| Proven potential use | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2.17 |
| 13 | Experience | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.33 | **7.83** |
| Motivation | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2.17 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Proven potential use | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2.33 |
| 17 | Experience | 3 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2.2 | **8.4** |
| Motivation | 3 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2.2 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 3 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2.2 |
| Proven potential use | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1.8 |
| 4 | Experience | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | **7.83** |
| Motivation | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Proven potential use | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1.83 |
| 16 | Experience | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | **7.33** |
| Motivation | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2.33 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1.83 |
| Proven potential use | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2.17 |
| 12 | Experience | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1.67 | **7.5** |
| Motivation | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2.50 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1.5 |
| Proven potential use | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1.83 |
| 2 | Experience | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1.33 | **7.67** |
| Motivation | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2.33 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2.17 |
| Proven potential use | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1.83 |
| 19 | Experience | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | **8.5** |
| Motivation | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2.17 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2.17 |
| Proven potential use | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2.17 |
| 9 | Experience | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1.67 | **7.5** |
| Motivation | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2.33 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1.83 |
| Proven potential use | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1.67 |
| 7 | Experience | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1.83 | **9.33** |
| Motivation | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2.5 |
| Reflection and anticipation | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2,5 |
| Proven potential use | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2.5 |

**FINAL RESULTS OF THE SELECTION PROCESS**

Ranking of applicants based on the received scores for their applications:

| **NO.** | **TOTAL SCORE** |
| --- | --- |
| 18 | 10 |
| 3 | 9.83 |
| 7 | 9.33 |
| 11 | 9.17 |
| 20 | 9 |
| 14 | 8.5 |
| 19 | 8.5 |
| 17 | 8.4 |
| 5 | 8.2 |
| 1 | 8.17 |
| 15 | 8 |
| 4 | 7.83 |
| 13 | 7.83 |
| 10 | 7.83 |
| 2 | 7.67 |
| 6 | 7.5 |
| 8 | 7.5 |
| 12 | 7.5 |
| 9 | 7.5 |
| 16 | 7.3 |



\* For the applicants that have received equal scores, being close to the threshold based on the ranking of all candidates, the Selection Committee applied the additional criterion: **Experience related to evaluation of public programmes and policies, such as: evaluation projects or academic research / papers or other courses, trainings in the area of related to evaluation of public interventions**. The detailed selection methodology mentions that the additional criteria will be applied based on their priority, in the order listed in the document, experience related to the evaluation of public programmes and policies being the first criterion.