Theory-based evaluation of Public Policies and Programmes

4th Training School of the

PROFEEDBACK (PlatfoRm OF policy Evaluation community for improvED EU policies and Better ACKnowledgement) Cost Action

16 - 20 June 2025

FINAL RESULTS

Selection Committee members:

- **Marijana Sumpor**
- **Kalterina Shulla**
- **Olha Krasovka**
- **Mirela Tase**
- **Mihaela Iorgulescu – Aioanei**
- **Sorin Bogdea**

The following selection criteria were applied to the eligible applicants (based on the detailed Selection procedure):

- Level of experience related to public policy area: This specific experience should be highlighted in the applicant's CV.
- Motivation: The motivation of the applicant as shown in a short motivation letter (1 pages). The motivation should show a personal and specific interest of the applicant to participate in the training school. It should link the training school to previous experience and expertise and sketch how the applicant can contribute to the training school.
- Potential use: The potential use as shown in the motivation letter. The expected use of the training school should be linked to future professional activities. These might be academic (e.g. a PhD-thesis) or applied (e.g. coming evaluation projects).

Scores received by applicants after the finalization of the quality assessment phase:

Participant's code	Eligibility assessment criteria	Selection Committee Evaluator 1	Total score	Selection Committee Evaluator 2	Total score	Average score
2	Experience	2		2,5		
	Motivation	2	**6,5**	2	**7**	**6,75**
	Proven potential use	2,5		2,5		
4	Experience	2,5		1		
	Motivation	3	**7,5**	3	**6**	**6,75**
	Proven potential use	2		2		
5	Experience	2		2		
	Motivation	2	**6,5**	2	**5**	**5,75**
	Proven potential use	2,5		1		
10	Experience	2,5		2		
	Motivation	2	**7,5**	3	**7**	**7,25**
	Proven potential use	3		2		
11	Experience	3		3		
	Motivation	3	**9**	3	**9**	**9**
	Proven potential use	3		3		
12	Experience	3		2		
	Motivation	2	**7**	3	**7**	**7**
	Proven potential use	2		2		
14	Experience	3		3		
	Motivation	3	**9**	3	**9**	**9**
	Proven potential use	3		3		
15	Experience	2		3		
	Motivation	3	**8**	3	**9**	**8,5**
	Proven potential use	3		3		
16	Experience	2		2		
	Motivation	2,5	**6,5**	3	**7**	**6,75**

	Proven potential use	2		2		
17	Experience	3	**9**	2	**8**	**8,5**
	Motivation	3		3		
	Proven potential use	3		3		
18	Experience	3	**9**	3	**9**	**9**
	Motivation	3		3		
	Proven potential use	3		3		
20	Experience	2	**7**	3	**8,5**	**7,75**
	Motivation	3		3		
	Proven potential use	2		2,5		
21	Experience	3	**7,5**	3	**9**	**8,25**
	Motivation	2		3		
	Proven potential use	2,5		3		
22	Experience	2,5	**7,5**	2,5	**6,5**	**7**
	Motivation	2,5		2,5		
	Proven potential use	2,5		2		
23	Experience	2,5	**8**	3	**9**	**8,5**
	Motivation	3		3		
	Proven potential use	2,5		3		
24	Experience	3	**8**	3	**8,5**	**8,25**
	Motivation	2		2,5		
	Proven potential use	3		3		

FINAL RESULTS OF THE SELECTION PROCESS

Ranking of applicants based on the received scores for their applications:

RANK	APLICANT CODE	FINAL SCORE

ACCEPTED APPLICANTS

1	*11*	9	
2	*14*	9	
3	*18*	9	
4	*15*	8,5	
5	*17*	8,5	
6	*23*	8,5	
7	*21*	8,25	
8	*24*	8,25	
9	*20*	7,75	
10	*10*	7,25	
11	*12*	7	
12	*22*	7	
			WAITING LIST
13	*16*	6,75	
14	*2*	6,75	
15	*4*	6,75	
16	*5*	5,75	
-	*1*	NOT ELIGIBLE	*No publication or project in the area or public policy*
-	*3*	NOT ELIGIBLE	*Age limit not met*
-	*6*	NOT ELIGIBLE	*Age limit not met*
-	*7*	NOT ELIGIBLE	*Incomplete information. No response received to the request for clarification*

-	8	NOT ELIGIBLE	*No experience in working in the field of public policy*
-	9	NOT ELIGIBLE	*Age limit not met*
-	13	NOT ELIGIBLE	*No experience in working in the field of public policy*
-	19	NOT ELIGIBLE	*Has participated in a previous PROFEEDBACK Training School*